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Summary

Declining soil fertility is a major constraint on crop production in the semi-arid highlands of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. In order to design more appropriate research and development programmes geared to improving integrated nutrient management practices, researchers need to understand farmers' knowledge and perceptions of soil fertility. This working paper presents the results of a participatory survey designed to characterise and analyse local knowledge about soil fertility and soil fertility management practices. The survey was carried out in two villages in Tigray.

The first step was to analyse how farmers assess soil fertility. They categorise their soils into three classes: reguid (fertile soils), mehakelay (moderately fertile soils) and rekik (poor soils). As farmers in the study sites base this classification on the soils' potential to produce crops, it is not limited to their perceived nutrient status. The classification is closely related to topography, and takes account of the soils' depth and water holding capacity. Soil fertility is seen as dynamic, since a particular unit of land can become more or less fertile. The principal indicators used for identifying declining soil fertility are reduced yield, weed infestation, rocky outcrops, and crops wilting early in the growing cycle. Farmers also use another local system of classifying soil types according to their colour, texture, and certain physical characteristics. This classification correlates with the categories of soil fertility.

Particularly, land shortage and land fragmentation have increasingly forced farmers to abandon soil fertility management practices such as fallowing, manuring, terracing, and using crop residues. Unless farmers use other sources of nutrients, the disappearance of these traditional practices will have a considerable impact on soil fertility. One option would be to integrate the livestock and cropping systems more closely, maximising the use of crop residues, and producing more manure. Mineral fertilisers are another possible source of nutrients although expensive. However, farmers need to recognise that organic and mineral fertilisers should be used to complement, and not replace each other. Achieving timely, low-cost delivery of fertilisers to farmers should be made a matter of public concern.

Farmers' experimentation with new practices is an important element of site-specific learning, that enables them to adapt the new practices to the conditions in which they live and work. Experimentation is also an important element in learning about Integrated Soil Fertility Management, and both researchers and extension workers could do more to assist farmers in this process.
Résumé

L'appauvrissement des sols représente une difficulté majeure pour la production agricole sur les hauts plateaux du Tigre, dans le nord de l’Éthiopie. Si les chercheurs veulent concevoir des programmes plus appropriés de recherche, destinés à améliorer les pratiques de gestion intégrée des éléments nutritifs, ils doivent comprendre les connaissances et perceptions des agriculteurs sur la fertilité de leurs sols. Le présent document présente les résultats d’une étude participative dans deux villages du Tigré cherchant à caractériser et à analyser les connaissances locales sur la fertilité des sols et les pratiques de gestion à cet égard.

La première étape a consisté à analyser la manière dont les agriculteurs évaluent la fertilité des sols. Ils les classent en trois catégories : reguid (sols fertiles), mehakelay (sols modérément fertiles) et rekik (sols infertiles). Dans la mesure où les agriculteurs de la zone étudiée basent cette classification sur la capacité des sols à produire des récoltes, elle n’est pas limitée à leur perception en matière d’éléments nutritifs. La classification est intimement liée à la topographie et prend en compte l’épaisseur de terre et sa capacité à retenir l’eau. La fertilité est un concept dynamique puisqu’une parcelle donnée peut devenir plus ou moins fertile.

Les agriculteurs utilisent aussi un autre système local de classification des sols qui distingue quatre types de sol en fonction de la couleur, de la texture et de certaines caractéristiques physiques. Cette classification recoupe les catégories précédentes de fertilité des sols.

Le manque de terre et sa fragmentation sont les facteurs les plus importants qui forcent de plus en plus les agriculteurs à abandonner les pratiques habituelles de gestion de la fertilité des sols telles que jachère, fumure, culture en terrasses et emploi de déchets agricoles. Si les agriculteurs n’utilisent pas d’autres sources d’éléments nutritifs, la disparition de ces méthodes traditionnelles va avoir un impact considérable sur la fertilité des sols. Une option serait d’intégrer plus étroitement l’élevage à l’agriculture, en maximisant l’emploi des déchets agricoles et en produisant plus de fumier. Les engrais minéraux sont une autre source possible d’éléments nutritifs mais ils sont coûteux. La mise à la disposition des agriculteurs d’engrais pas trop chers et en temps voulu devrait être un objectif des pouvoirs publics.

L’expérimentation des nouvelles méthodes par les agriculteurs est un élément important du savoir spécifique à un site car elle leur permet d’adapter ces nouvelles méthodes aux conditions dans lesquelles ils vivent et travaillent. Cette expérimentation est aussi une manière importante d’arriver à une gestion intégrée de la fertilité des sols et aussi bien les chercheurs que les vulgarisateurs devraient s’efforcer davantage d’aider les agriculteurs à ce niveau.
Introduction

The importance of local knowledge

Soil fertility is declining in many parts of sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) (Stoorvogel et al., 1993). One of the major constraints to crop production faced by smallholder subsistence farmers is the inadequate supply of nutrients (Quinones et al., 1998; Shapiro and Sanders, 1998). Farmers are either entirely abandoning the traditional practice of using natural fallow to restore soil fertility, or are unable to leave land fallow for long enough for it to be effective. The use of mineral fertilisers is declining as they are increasingly beyond the means of most small-scale farmers (Larson and Frisvold, 1996). Erosion and severe run-off are further depleting existing soil nutrient reserves, while levels of soil organic matter are declining as land is subject to over-use.

Sustaining soil fertility has become a major issue for agricultural research and development in SSA (Smailing and Oenema, 1997). In the past, most research consisted of trials to determine the appropriate amount and type of fertiliser needed to obtain the best yields for particular soil types and specific agro-ecological locations. This approach emphasised the use of external inputs and expensive technologies, and often disregarded farmers' knowledge and the resources at their disposal. Since then, research has gradually shifted towards an approach based on Integrated Soil Fertility Management (ISFM), which combines various existing soil fertility management techniques. This approach is based on a thorough scientific understanding of the underlying biological processes of ISFM and aims to promote options that make the best use of locally available inputs, and that are tailored to suit local agro-ecological conditions, and farmers' resources and interests.

Improving farmers' knowledge, and their capacity to observe and experiment, is an essential element in the development of ISFM technologies (Deugd et al., 1998). It is also important to build on local systems of knowledge, as they relate to specific locations and are based on experience and understanding of local conditions of production. Such systems are a source of site-specific ecological information, and provide the key to understanding peoples' socio-cultural conditions (Pawluk et al., 1992). Many development projects and policies have collapsed because of a failure to understand local knowledge, and how this influences the way farmers manage natural resources (Schoonmaker-Freudenberger, 1994).
Several studies have been undertaken to assess local knowledge about soils. Research in this area has predominantly focused on documenting how farmers classify their soils (Talawar and Rhoades, 1997). Less attention has been paid to studying and understanding how soil fertility is perceived and managed at farm level, and how various physical, economic and socio-cultural factors interact. The objective of this paper is to characterise and understand farmers’ perceptions and technological knowledge of soil fertility in Tigray. It then goes on to analyse how the prevalent local systems of knowledge influence soil fertility management practices in this semi-arid northern region of Ethiopia.

The majority of the soils of this region are reported to be shallow, have low soil fertility, high run-off, and low infiltration capacity (Mitiku, 1996). Declining soil fertility is particularly severe in Tigray because of high nutrient losses through soil erosion, and extremely low use of external nutrient inputs (Virgo and Munro, 1978). The insights from this study should make it possible to develop more sustainable ISFM research and development programmes, and to design more appropriate policies for maintaining and enhancing soil fertility in this region.

Methodology

The research was undertaken in two villages in Tigray, Northern Ethiopia, which were considered to be representative of the highland areas. In each village, the research team selected a representative group of 25 farmers of both sexes and various ages and social classes. The team used several participatory research techniques during the study (Pretty et al., 1995). Resource flow maps were prepared and used to analyse the current use of natural resources, and management practices at village level. The team used interview guides during individual and group discussions so that they could analyse farmers’ perceptions of soil fertility, and their management strategies. They also held semi-structured interviews to explore how the farmers classified soil fertility. During transect walks the team and the farmers studied the variations between different types of soil, and analysed diversity in soil fertility management practices. They then ranked the soil types identified according to their fertility and potential productivity.
The study area

The two villages selected for this study were Adenguar in the sub-district of Mesanu (2000 to 2300 masl) and May-Nebri in the sub-district of May-Nebri (2000 to 2500 masl, see Fig. 1). The average population density in Mesanu district is 139 persons/km² and in May-Nebri district 151 persons/km², while the average farm size is 1.1 ha in Mesanu district and 0.9 ha in May-Nebri.

Figure 1. Location of the two sample villages
letting grass and weeds grow among the cereal. Labour is mainly provided by household members.

Table 3 below presents the different types of land-use and the area they cover in both villages. The common grazing land in May-Nebri lies far beyond the village, and is not included in the study. The arable land in both villages includes irrigated and non-irrigated land.

Table 3. Land-use types and their area in the two villages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adenguar Area (Tsimidi*)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>May-Nebri Area (Tsimidi*)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arable land</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare land</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 Tsimidi = 0.25 ha
Source: Own survey
Farmers' perceptions of soil fertility

Local concepts of soil fertility

It became clear from group discussions in both villages that farmers and scientists understand soil fertility in different ways. Scientists often only take account of the soil's nutrient status, without considering its physical properties. They define fertile land as land that is capable of producing consistently high yields in a wide range of crops.

Farmers describe productive and fertile land as 'reguid', which literally means fat. Well-prepared land with a good seedbed is known as 'limui', which says nothing about its fertility or productivity. Farmers' perceptions of soil fertility are not limited to the soil's nutrient status. Fertility is assessed through outcomes such as crop performance and yield and includes all soil factors affecting plant growth. In fact, the farmers' interpretation of soil fertility reflects the definition of soil productivity used by the International Soil Science Society (ISSS). The ISSS describes it as the capacity of a soil in its normal environment to produce a specified plant or sequence of plants under a particular system of soil management (ISSS, 1996). In their critical analysis of how farmers in different settings classify and manage soils, Talawar and Rhoades (1997) also found that farmers see soil fertility as a multi-faceted concept. It includes factors such as the soil's capacity for sustainable productivity, its permeability, water holding capacity, drainage, tillage and manure requirements, and how easy it is to work.

The farmers in our case study use various easily observable indicators to assess whether soil fertility is declining. The principal indicator they mentioned is reduced crop yield. In this semi-arid region where rainfall is low and erratic, soil moisture conservation is often regarded as the most critical factor for successful crop production. Farmer perception of soil fertility is therefore closely related to the soil's water holding capacity. However, even in climatically good years, low crop yields are not perfect indicators of declining soil fertility, since yields may be significantly affected by a range of other factors, such as weeds or pests.

Farmers use the appearance of specific weed species, like Echinops hispidus and Xanthium spinosum, as indicators of declining soil fertility. As individual weed species or
communities are adapted to particular habitats, their presence may indicate problems with the soil's nutrient status or structure. However, the ability of weeds to act as unambiguous indicators is limited, because their presence may reflect cropping practices rather than soil conditions.

Farmers also listed rocky outcrops and crops wilting at the end of the rainy season as indicators of declining or low soil fertility. Rocky outcrops may appear as result of high levels of erosion, and they are often associated with shallow, infertile soils. Crops that wilt just after the rainy season ends are an indication that the soil has low water holding capacity, which is related to certain physical characteristics such as depth and texture.

Farmer knowledge of soil fertility
When they were asked to categorise different levels of soil fertility, the farmers in the two villages classified their land into three classes: reguid meriet (fertile), mehakelay meriet (moderately fertile), and rekik meriet (infertile) (see Table 4). Figure 3 below shows the estimated areas covered by each class of soil in both villages.

The entomological origin of the words indicates that reguid means thick, rekik means thin, and mehakelay describes something in between the two. Meriet means land. Certainly, this nomenclature refers directly to the soil's depth. It is also clear from the transect map (Fig. 4) that in this region the three units of classification are strongly correlated to topographical position. According to the farmers, this influences the depth of the soil, its suitability for particular crops, and crop productivity. On a given

Figure 3. Area covered by each class of soil fertility in the sample villages

---

*1 Tsmidi = 0.25 ha
Source: Own survey

---
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Table 4. Local classification of soil fertility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Reguid</th>
<th>Mehakelay</th>
<th>Rekik</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fertility status</td>
<td>Most fertile</td>
<td>Moderately fertile</td>
<td>Least fertile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local soil type</td>
<td>Keyih and Andeleway</td>
<td>Andeleway</td>
<td>Bahakel and walka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>Red and brown</td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>White and black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Shallow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workability</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Easy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoniness</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of erosion</td>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>Gentle slope</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topography</td>
<td>Level (valley bottoms)</td>
<td>(between valley bottom &amp; hills)</td>
<td>Very steep (hilly)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield</td>
<td>Maximum and most reliable</td>
<td>Medium, with slight risk of crop failure</td>
<td>Low, with high risk of crop failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>During rainy season grasses emerge, slowly and generally survive. Supports a wide range of crops.</td>
<td>During rainy season grasses emerge and dry slowly. Production is limited to certain limited.</td>
<td>During rainy season grasses emerge fast and die quickly. Crop choice is very limited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural use</td>
<td>Intensively cultivated arable land</td>
<td>Some cultivation, also used for pasture</td>
<td>Not cultivated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own survey.

toposequence reguid soils usually represent deep soils (>100 cm). They mostly appear in valley bottoms and natural terraces, and are generally found further down the slope than mehakelay soils. Rekik soils are found on the upper portions of a toposequence, and are usually shallow. Topographical position is often a criterion in local land and soil classification systems, such as those of southern Mali (Kante and Defoer, 1994) and the highlands of Rwanda (Habarurema and Steiner, 1997).

There is also a strong correlation between these units of classification and the soil’s water holding capacity. In semi-arid conditions this is a determining factor for the potential to produce crops. The soils at the bottom of the toposequence generally have a high water holding capacity because of their considerable depth and clayey texture, while the shallow soils on the upper slope are sandier, and have low water holding capacity.
Figure 4a. Transect map of Adenguar area

The diagrams of the transect walk shown in Figure 4a and 4b illustrate how the farmers’ system for classifying soil fertility determines land use in the study area. Rekik soils are used for habitation and forestry, whereas the reguid soils are cultivated. The most fertile soils are cultivated more intensively than other soils. The farmers indicated that they vary the amount of seed they use according to the soil’s fertility status, and that they generally use more seed on reguid soils than on rekik soils.
Local systems for classifying soils

Farmers have developed a local system of soil classification based on their experience of the potential and constraints of their soils. They use the system to determine how they will manage soil fertility. Farmers in the region distinguish between four different soil types, mainly on the basis of soil colour and texture (Table 5). The four types are *walka* or *tselim meriet* (black, clayey soil), *keyih menet* (reddish, medium-texture soil), *andelewayi* (brownish, medium-texture soil) and *bahakel* (light coloured, lightly textured soil).

The soils are classified according to recognisable and easily identifiable soil and field characteristics. In our study area the farmers’ criteria for classification are yield, the topographic position of the field, the soil’s depth, colour and texture, its capacity to hold water, and the presence of stones. Farmers ranked these criteria in the following order: yield > topography > soil depth/colour/texture/waterholding capacity/stoniness. Yield is the most important criterion, and farmers are also aware that soil productivity is closely related to its position within the landscape. They regarded the other five criteria as equally important.

Soil colour is an important criterion for farmers, as it often reflects the soil’s hidden parent material, which determines specific soil characteristics. The texture of the surface layer has some influence on many other soil properties, and gives farmers a clear indication as to whether a soil can be cultivated after the first rains of the season. Other criteria mentioned by farmers are soil compaction, structure, cracking patterns, stoniness, drainage, and the ability to retain moisture. All of these physical characteristics are related to soil texture.

Farmers were asked to rank the different local soil types according to their fertility and potential productivity. They ranked them as follows: *keyih menet* (most fertile) > *andelewayi* > *bahakel* > *walka* (least fertile), suggesting that in their perception the local soil classification is well correlated with soil fertility and productivity. Soil colour and texture are important, but they are not the only indicators of fertility.

Mitiku (1996) also showed that the local soil classification used in Tigray only partly reflects soil nutrient status, as farmers believe that the level of nutrients is only one of several factors determining a soil’s fertility. The black *walka* soils are relatively fertile, clayey soils, with a high organic matter content (between 1.5 and 1.9 %) and a high cation exchange capacity (CEC) of between 44 and 52 cmol(+)/kg soil. In contrast, chemical soil analysis revealed that *bahakel* soils represent a heterogeneous group of soils of varying levels of fertility. The *bahakel* CEC ranged from 7 to 35 cmol(+)/kg soil, and their organic matter content ranged from 0.3 to 1.4 %.

Most systems of classification are developed for a particular purpose, and reflect the priorities of their inventors. In our study sites the local systems of classification reflect the
However, the fallow periods dropped from an average of three years before 1970 to only one year in the 1980s. Farmers in both villages had to abandon long-term fallowing altogether in the 1990s because their land holdings became too small. The average household currently only has one hectare of land.

Farmers in the study area report two methods of seasonal fallowing. Mekan tsegie refers to a field which is left completely fallow for one year. It is then ploughed in July/August to incorporate weeds and grasses into the soil as green manure, and to increase water infiltration. The most common seasonal fallow now practised in the study area is growing chickpea or vetch as a green manure crop, which also produces some food. However, although these legumes may restore soil fertility more effectively than other crops, farmers consider this practice as less beneficial than traditional fallowing.

Crop rotation
Farmers are well aware they can improve soil productivity by rotating crops. They said that as fallowing is no longer possible they now rotate crops on the fields away from their homesteads, which receive very little manure. Farmers choose which crops to grow in rotation according to how they adapt to the soil and the rainfall pattern. Personal preference and economic considerations such as the price of the crop also influence the farmers’ choices. The major crop rotations practised by the farmers we interviewed are:

- Barley – wheat – barley
- Teff – barley/wheat – teff
- Teff – vetch – teff
- Barley – chickpea – barley

Most farmers think that starting the rotation with teff or other cereals and then planting chickpea or vetch improves crop productivity more than rotations based solely on cereals. However, crop rotations in the region are dominated by cereals. Farmers in Adenguar did not include any legumes in their rotations, unlike their counterparts in May-Nebri. Their choice of crop rotation is mainly influenced by the desire to reduce the need for labour intensive land preparation or weeding. Farmers made the following observations about certain crop rotations:

- The frequent ploughing required to create a fine seed bed for teff reduces the need to prepare the land for subsequent barley or wheat crops;
- As teff is intensively weeded, the subsequent barley or wheat crop is less likely to be infested with weeds;
- Weeds such as *Argimeno mexicano* will grow among teff, but will not appear in a barley crop. Similarly, some grasses that grow as weeds in barley will not appear in a subsequent teff crop;
- Growing vetch before teff reduces the number of weeds in the teff crop;
- The crop residues from teff left on the field are considered as *tsigie* for the following crop;
Sowing chickpea immediately after harvesting a cereal crop is known as tsigie but its application is mostly limited to the soil type walka.

One of the key features of local farming practice, which has also been reported in other studies (Talawar and Rhoades, 1997), is the careful matching of crops and crop varieties to soil potential. Farmers in the study area are knowledgeable about the relationship between crops and soil types, and said that some crops influence the productivity of certain types of soil. They distinguish between crops that have a similar effect to fallowing and improve the soil, and crops that deplete the soil. Chickpea and vetch improve walka soils, lentil improves andelwayi soils, linseed improves bahakel soils, and teff improves walka and keyih meriet soils.

Crop residues

Few farmers in the region use crop residues to maintain soil fertility. They are mainly used as animal feed, construction material, or fuel. All crop residues apart from wheat are cut at ground level, and the straw is stored as fodder for livestock. Wheat straw is left on the field because it is not considered to be good fodder after it has been stored. Most of the wheat stubble is grazed in the field, as food for livestock is in short supply at harvest time.

Farmers in May-Nebri often burn crop residues to prevent animals from grazing them. They consider that the ash acts as fertiliser and increases crop yields. In the region around Adenguar it used to be common practice to burn crop residues, but since 1985 all crop residues have been used as fodder for cattle or sold at the local market.

Manuring

Livestock have various functions in the production system. Oxen are essential and indispensable for preparing land and threshing grain, and farmers keep cows, sheep and goats as a source of stable income. Poultry provide food and cash, and donkeys are used for transport. The existing livestock management system does not include practices for improving the quality of manure or for increasing manure production.

Manure is an important input for maintaining and enhancing soil fertility. Farmers distinguish between two types of manure: zikereme dukie or husse and zeykereme dukie or aleba. The first type of manure is gathered and allowed to decompose during the rainy season. When it is applied this manure is highly decomposed, and rich in available plant nutrients. Aleba manure is collected during the dry season. It does not decompose as much as the other type of manure, and has a less direct effect on crop yields. It also increases the number of weeds in the fields.

According to farmers' estimates, they applied between 0-800 kg/ha of manure in Adenguar, and 0-1000 kg/ha in May-Nebri. Manure tends to be used only by a few rich
redistribution between 1978 and 1987 in areas under their control, such as Tigray. All persons over 18 years of age were entitled to land, which includes women who had been denied access to land during the first land reform. Overall, landless youth were the main beneficiaries but the size of average land holdings became smaller and smaller. Guidelines for future land redistribution have been developed by the Ethiopian Government, but there are no plans for implementation.

Wealth status also seems to have a significant impact on the way farmers manage their land. Wealth ranking exercises and interviews with farmers revealed that those with the most livestock and children had access to more manure, transport and labour, and implemented more soil fertility management practices than other farmers. Moreover, farming in Tigray is risky. Pests and unreliable rainfall mean that farmers are liable to lose investments made in the crop. Having more resources, a rich farmer is better able to cope with harvest failure than a poor farmer. Socio-economic issues are therefore key driving forces in nutrient management, and have a considerable influence on decision making at farm level (Scoones and Toulmin, 1998).
Experimentation with nutrient management

Farmers' interest and capabilities in experimentation are important elements in generating local knowledge. A group of ten farmers in May-Nebri have set up a trial to compare the effects of different types of manure on crop yield. The manure was used on onions, tomatoes, wheat and barley and the soil type was bahakel. This trial was also monitored by researchers from Mekelle University College. Farmers ranked each type of manure according to its effect on crop productivity, rating chicken manure most highly, and going down through goat manure > sheep manure > cow dung > donkey manure, which was considered the least effective. They concluded that donkey manure contributes nothing to the land, no matter how much is applied. They also observed that cow dung is more effective if it is burned before application. This is probably an indirect effect of reducing the number of weed seeds in the dung, which lessens the competition between weeds and crops in the field. The farmers' ranking of the different types of manure correlates with laboratory analysis of their value for soil fertility (Table 7).

Farmers in Adenguar also described how they had learned about soil fertility management through testing and observation, although they had not actively planned any experiments. Some farmers had noticed differences in the development of plants in the field around the homestead where manure was deposited. When one farmer started to apply manure purposely, colleagues working adjacent fields watched how the crop developed, and how its yield was affected. The following year they all decided to apply some manure.

Table 7. Average composition of different types of manure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Organic matter (%)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>P\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} (%)</th>
<th>K\textsubscript{2}O (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicken manure</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep/goat manure</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle manure</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse/donkey manure</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Mueller-Saemann and Kotschi (1994)
Conclusions

Farmers in the study area see soil fertility as a broader concept than the soil's nutrient status, and closely related to crop productivity. They use various indicators to assess changes in soil fertility, such as yield levels, the degree of weed infestation, the appearance of rocky outcrops, and crops wilting early. In this semi-arid area, crop productivity largely depends on soil moisture content. The way that farmers categorise soil fertility reflects therefore the soil's water holding capacity. The local system for classifying soils, which determines soil fertility management practices, is based mainly on soil colour and texture.

Socio-economic factors such as a shortage of land and land fragmentation have led to a decline in traditional soil fertility management practices. This will have substantial effects on soil fertility, unless farmers use other measures to add nutrients to their soils. Farmers in the study area are aware of the problems caused by declining soil fertility.

There is considerable scope for improvement through integrating livestock and cropping systems, and using crop residues and animal manure more effectively as sources of nutrients. Current livestock management practices are not geared to producing as much farmyard manure as possible, and maintaining soil fertility is only one of a variety of uses to which manure is put.

Mineral fertilisers may also have an important role to play, but farmers should recognise that organic and mineral fertilisers have to be used to complement, and not replace, each other. Extension packages focusing on the use of fertiliser should take account of how farmers assess soil fertility dynamics, and relate this to recommendations for fertiliser application. Achieving timely, low-cost delivery of fertilisers to farmers should be made a matter of public concern.

Agricultural research and extension should adopt an approach based on Integrated Soil Fertility Management as a matter of urgency. Addressing soil fertility management in isolation from overall land management issues will lead to a simplification of the problem. Moreover, ISFM promotes a positive interaction between crop and livestock production systems, and the use of locally available inputs.
ISFM also builds on local systems of knowledge. Farmers have developed local practices which they continuously adapt. These practices have proven over time that they can sustain the use and conservation of land resources.

Farmer experimentation is an important element of site-specific learning. It helps them adapt practices to the specific local conditions in which they live and work. The ISFM approach can build on farmers’ particular interests, and on their capacity to observe, experiment, and interpret the results of new techniques (Deugd et al., 1998). Researchers can help farmers to improve their experimentation, but they should not select the experimental treatments for them. The researcher’s role is to strengthen the farmers’ capacity to systematise the learning process. They can do this by working to improve the planning and design of the experiments chosen by farmers. Researchers and extension staff can also assist farmers with their experimentation by providing technical back-up and understanding of the biological processes underlying farmer practices.
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